

**RICHMOND REGIONAL
TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION**

**MINUTES OF MEETING
July 7, 2016**

MEMBERS PRESENT

Steve A. Elswick, **Chairman** Chesterfield County
Kathy C. Graziano, **Vice Chairman** City of Richmond
Manuel Alvarez, Jr. Goochland County
Nick Britton (Nonvoting)..... DRPT
Robert H. Cary Secretary of Transportation Designee, VDOT
David Green GRTC Transit System
James M. Holland Chesterfield County
Angela Kelly-Wiecek..... Hanover County
Robert P. Morris (Nonvoting)..... CTAC
Patricia S. O'Bannon Henrico County
W. Canova Peterson, IV..... Hanover County
Ivan Rucker (Nonvoting) FHWA
Frank J. Thornton..... Henrico County
Von Tisdale (Nonvoting) RideFinders
Carson L. Tucker (Alternate)..... Powhatan County
Christopher Winslow Chesterfield County

MEMBERS ABSENT

Parker C. Agelasto City of Richmond
Jonathan Baliles City of Richmond
Cliff Burnette (Nonvoting) VDA
Angela L. Gray..... RMTA
Susan F. Lascolette Goochland County
Ryan Long (Nonvoting)..... FTA
Floyd H. Miles, Sr..... Charles City County
Brian Montgomery (Nonvoting)..... EDAC
Michelle R. Mosby..... City of Richmond
Larry J. Nordvig..... Powhatan County
Patricia A. Paige..... New Kent County
John Rutledge..... CRAC
C. Thomas Tiller, Jr. New Kent County

ALTERNATE MEMBERS PRESENT, NOT VOTING

Wayne T. Hazzard (Alternate)..... Hanover County
Mark Riblett (Alternate) Secretary of Transportation Designee, VDOT

CALL TO ORDER

TPO Chairman Steve A. Elswick called the July 7, 2016 Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RRTPO) meeting to order at approximately 9:30 a.m. in the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission board room.

CERTIFICATION OF MEETING QUORUM

TPO Secretary Barbara S. Nelson reported that a quorum was present.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

At the request of the Chairman, Wayne T. Hazzard lead the TPO in the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag.

I. ADMINISTRATION

A. Approval of RRTPO Agenda

Barbara Nelson reported a recommendation from the Executive Committee to remove Item I.D.2, FY 15 – 18 TIP Amendment: DRPT – FTA Section 5310 Funds from the consent agenda and placed on the New Business agenda as Item II.E. On motion by Kathy C. Graziano, seconded by Patricia S. O’Bannon, the TPO voted unanimously to amend the July 7, 2016 RRTPO meeting agenda as recommended by the Executive Committee.

B. Minutes of the June 2, 2016 TPO Meeting

On motion by James M. Holland, seconded by Edward L. Henson, III, the TPO approved the minutes of the June 2, 2016 TPO meeting as presented with all voting in favor except one vote in abstention.

C. Open Public Comment Period

Charles Robideau, Chesterfield County resident interested in transit, noted efforts in the region to plan for public transit including the Greater RVA Transit Vision Plan, *plan2040*, and the Richmond Transit Network Plan. He said they all share a lack of urgency without any sense that public transit is a vital community need that must be provided as soon as possible. Mr. Robideau noted the 2008 *Richmond Regional Mass Transit Study* that envisioned a system linking Richmond with four of the seven counties in the Richmond Regional Planning District and issued a call to action for the region that would require cooperation and coordination of all jurisdictions to adopt a vision of transit for the future and to seek General Assembly support to establish a dedicated and predictable source of funding. He noted state and local officials, community leaders and business officials who supported the Pulse as a \$25 million federal TIGER grant was sought to fund the bus rapid transit line. He expressed concern that if a BRT-centered regional system does not emerge for the Pulse to connect with, it may become a one-route showpiece for the City of Richmond which will need to compete with other pressing needs for operating subsidies and he expressed concern about the planning process that could allow this to occur. Chairman Elswick thanked Mr. Robideau for his comments.

There being no further requests to comment, Chairman Elswick closed the public comment period.

D. Consent Action Items

Chairman Elswick noted the three items on the consent agenda and called for action.

On motion of Wayne T. Hazzard, seconded by Kathy C. Graziano, the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization unanimously approved the following resolutions:

- 1. VDOT Request to Transfer CMAQ Funds: Regionwide Traffic Operations to Huguenot Road/River Road Intersection Improvements**

RESOLVED, that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RRTPO) authorizes \$131,598 in CMAQ funds to be shifted from Regionwide Traffic Operations (UPC 101492) to Huguenot Road and River Road Intersection Improvements (UPC 104959).

3. FY 15 – 18 TIP Amendment: VDOT – New Projects

RESOLVED, that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) amends the *FY 15 – FY 18 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)* adding the following projects:

1. UPC 109193: CRAC Bus Purchase
2. UPC 109191: 288/Commonwealth Ctr Pwky & Bailey Bridge IMR
3. UPC 109231: I-64/Ashland Rd IMR
4. UPC 109190: Laburnum Avenue Sidewalk, PE-Phase.
5. UPC 109266: Richmond Marine Terminal – Intermodal Transfer Improvements
6. UPC T18033: Transit Development Plan
7. UPC 106299: Azalea Avenue Intersection Improvements, PE & RW Phase
8. UPC 107458: I-64 Widening between I-295 and Exit 205-Bottoms Bridge, PE-Phase
9. UPC T11802: Vehicle Fuel Conversion Program, Additional Phase

4. FY 15 – 18 TIP Amendment: VDOT – Group Projects

RESOLVED, that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) approves the grouping of the ten projects listed for grouping in Appendix A of the FY15 – FY18 TIP as requested by VDOT.

E. TPO Chairman's Report

Chairman Elswick expressed thanks for allowing Chesterfield County to chair the RRTPO. He said there is only one single agenda and that is to get as many projects funded for the region as possible and the Executive Committee looks forward to working together to bring those opportunities forward to the full body to accomplish that goal.

1. Presentation to Outgoing TPO Chairman

Chairman Elswick thanked outgoing Chairman W. Canova Peterson, IV, for his leadership and for his continued service, and he presented Mr. Peterson with a clock engraved with his name and dates of service as TPO Chairman. The RRTPO responded with a round of applause. Mr. Peterson said it has been an honor and expressed appreciation for all the help he had in getting through what was a year of transition with a new leadership and many new staff and the first year with House Bill 2 project applications.

2. Appointments to FY 17 TPO Executive Committee

At the request of the Chairman, Sharon Robeson read the FY 17 RRTPO Executive Committee appointments determined at the Executive Committee meeting as follows: Chesterfield County, Mr. Steve A. Elswick, Chairman, with Mr. James M. Holland, alternate; City of Richmond, Ms. Kathy C. Graziano; Town of Ashland, Ms. Kathy Abbott with Mr. James Foley, alternate; Charles City County, Mr. Floyd H. Miles, Sr., with Mr. William G. Coad, alternate; Goochland County, Mr. Manuel Alvarez, Jr., with Ms. Susan F. Lascolette, alternate; Hanover County, Mr. W. Canova

Peterson, IV, with Ms. Angela Kelly-Wiecek, alternate; Henrico County, Ms. Patricia S. O'Bannon with Mr. Frank J. Thornton, alternate; New Kent County, Mr. C. Thomas Tiller, Jr. with Ms. Patricia A. Paige, alternate; and Powhatan County, Mr. Larry J. Nordvig with Mr. Carson L. Tucker, alternate.

3. FY 17 TPO Board and Executive Committee Meetings Schedule

Chairman Elswick called attention to the upcoming meetings and tentative agenda items for the first half of FY 17 included under agenda tab eight. He said the August 4 TPO meeting is cancelled; however, the TPO Executive Committee will need to meet on that date to consider business that will be brought forward later in the meeting. Chairman Elswick also reported that the Executive Committee discussed going to an every other month meeting for the full TPO beginning in January except for those months where action is required, such as in the April and May time period. The Executive Committee would continue to meet monthly during that time period. Chairman Elswick asked for comments on this recommendation and none were voiced.

F. TPO Secretary's Report

1. Approval of FY 17 UPWP by FHWA and FTA

Barbara Nelson reported the receipt of approval from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) as well as the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) of the FY 17 Unified Planning and Work Program. She said staff appreciates their support in development of the work program and looks forward to working with them in implementation of the work program over the coming year.

2. TPO Work Status Report

Ms. Nelson noted the work May 2016 work status report included under tab three of the agenda package, including the work status activities as well as the budget expenditures for the TPO portion of the work program. She noted the heavy work efforts on MTP *plan2040* the long-range transportation plan as well as Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) amendments related to Smart Scale, formerly known as House Bill 2.

3. Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (June 27, 2016): MPO Coordination

Barbara Nelson reported a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that was issued by FHWA and FTA on June 27 which will be the subject of the August 4 Executive Committee meeting. The comment period closes on August 26 and so there needs to be discussion in time to respond to the proposed rule within the comment period. Ms. Nelson explained that the rule relates to MPO coordination and there could be significant impacts as the rule advances within the Richmond Urbanized Area (RUA) which has two MPOs, the Richmond Regional TPO and the Tri-Cities MPO. She reported the proposed rule impacts approximately 142 of the 409 MPOs across the nation and proposed that there be process changes that are designed to improve the coordination among local governments in multimodal planning and transportation investments. The general categories of change relate to the definition of the metropolitan planning area and how it needs to take into account the area proposed for urbanization over the next 20 years. The next two items are more significant for the RUA with two MPOs. One provision explores whether two or more MPOs should exist within an urbanized area or whether they should exist as a single MPO for purposes of accomplishing federal transportation planning

regulations. There is a process that allows for an exception to be granted by the governor, in consultation with the MPOs, for multiple MPOs to exist within an urbanized area, based on the size and complexity of the area. In addition, there is a requirement that if more than one MPO exists within the urbanized area, all TPOs in that area would be required to produce a single Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), a single long-range plan (the MTP), and consensus on performance targets. Ms. Nelson asked Ivan Rucker, FHWA, and Rob Cary, if either of them had anything they would like to say. Mr. Rucker said it will be important for staff tune in to a July 15 webinar sponsored by the FHWA headquarters office that will provide additional information, guidance and understanding on the purpose of the proposed rulemaking. The webinar will offer an opportunity to comment and pose questions. He encouraged the RRTPO to put concerns in writing and submit them as part of the rulemaking process. He said there will be no revenue loss and that this is an effort to coordinate and streamline the process.

Concern was expressed that a few weeks is not enough time to formulate comments and the comment deadline should be extended. Mr. Rucker said that concern could be offered as a comment, and he encouraged staff to speak up at the webinar as they will be speaking directly with individuals in the headquarters office responsible for the policymaking. On motion of W. Canova Peterson, IV, seconded by Christopher Winslow, the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization voted unanimously to request a sixty-day extension from the current comment period deadline. Mr. Rucker said he would take that request to his headquarters office that the Richmond MPO wishes to have the opportunity to extend the comment period.

Chairman Elswick indicated it would be worthwhile for Martha Shickle, Barbara Nelson, Denny Morris with Crater PDC and someone from the Tri-Cities MPO to get together to discuss this matter because of the potential impact on both PDCs and MPOs. Chairman Elswick also requested that Ms. Nelson work with Mr. Cary to come up with a mechanism to communicate what the RRTPO's recommendations are going to be to the governor. Mr. Cary noted that these kinds of issues fall with the transportation planning group at the central office and they have not taken any position on the proposed rulemaking and he said they would pass back through the Secretary that there are concerns here and perhaps more information and more time would be desired to comment. He echoed Mr. Rucker's request that joining in on the webinar will be the best way to understand what the goals of this effort are.

II. NEW BUSINESS

A. Metropolitan Transportation Planning: Regulatory Update

Barbara Nelson said Chris Wichman would provide a briefing on the metropolitan transportation regulatory updates. Mr. Wichman said staff is reacting to several new rules released in the federal register recently under the final planning rule for statewide and metropolitan areas making sure that how the RRTPO engages in a transportation planning process that's in line with federal requirements. Mr. Wichman noted that staff has been integrating some of the rules as guidance has been released over the last several years. Mr. Wichman noted the staff report included under tab four which details regulatory changes based on the past two transportation authorization bills, MAP-21 and the FAST Act, and how they will impact the RRTPO process. He discussed significant efforts and processes

being undertaken by staff, in consultation with federal and state partners, to assess needed improvements, to identify changes that may be needed in programs or processes and to develop strategies to comply with the new regulations.

Major points resulting from questions and discussion were as follows:

- Scenario planning is an advancement of modeling using specific parameters which would probably focus on employment and population to see how those inputs affect the transportation network in the model.
- Current data is sufficient to begin the scenario planning process; additional data collection would be the result of a defined need for analysis.
- Staff has been working to incorporate performance measures since the draft rule requiring performance-based planning came out in 2014.
- The current performance measures report discusses trends; however, more specific targets will need to be set and compared with performance to assess progress.

B. *plan2040*: Authorization of Draft Document for Public Review

Barbara Nelson reviewed the significant work effort dedicated to development of the region's long-range transportation plan, *plan2040*, working with local jurisdictions and agencies over the last three years beginning with development of socioeconomic data for the region. This effort migrated into the appointment of a special purpose committee, the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) Advisory Committee (AC), appointed by the RRTPO to work on development of the long-range plan. Through that work, various aspects of the plan have been presented for RRTPO information and action over the last eighteen months which have culminated in the recommendations presented in the plan.

Tiffany Dubinsky reviewed the *plan2040* draft document and the schedule leading to adoption of the plan on October 6, 2016. In order to make the document more readable, it was divided into two portions, a summary document which highlights most of the elements already approved by the RRTPO, and a technical document which is a look at the existing multimodal transportation network and includes data documenting elements in the summary document. Elements in the summary document include the development process, federal and state legislation, public participation, performance measures, goals, environmental justice, and the fiscally constrained projects list. The technical document includes the regional demographics for base year 2012 projected to the year 2040, land use and environmental mitigation, the regional road network, regional transit, bicycle and pedestrian elements, rail, freight and intermodal systems, congestion management, transportation demand management (TDM), transportation innovations, travel demand modeling and the Central Virginia Emergency Management Alliance (CVEMA). Specific plans for the August public review were presented regarding ads, news releases, public meetings, draft document availability and social media engagement. The MTPAC will meet July 28 for final review of the draft plan document prior to the August public review. A summary of all comments received during the public review period will be provided at the September 1 RRTPO meeting. The MTPAC will meet again in mid-September to finalize any changes as a result of the public review and final approval will be requested at the October 6 RRTPO meeting. Ms. Dubinsky said the action requested of the RRTPO board to authorize the draft plan for the August 1 through August 31 public review.

Major points resulting from questions and discussion were as follows:

- The last plan was approved in July 2012 so the deadline for approval of this MTP would be July 2017; the RRTPO is in attainment for air quality conformity and has moved from a four-year to a five-year cycle for development of the long-range plan.
- The revenue projections in the 2040 plan include Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT) revenue projections, not included in previous plans, so there is an 8 to 10 percent jump in estimated available funds. VDOT and DRPT are both represented on the MTPAC to review the accuracy of revenue and cost projections.
- Some funding pots have criteria limiting the types of projects they may be used for.
- Cost estimates are more accurate in the first couple of time bands; an annual inflation factor is applied to projects in the outer time bands, but estimates are less accurate.
- There was a request to consider holding a public meeting at the Meadowdale Library in Chesterfield County, which is in an area in need of public transportation and where a significant number of jobs will be coming in the next few years.
- Visual presentation such as pie charts portrays the information quickly to citizens who are less familiar with the technical aspects of the planning process.
- There was a suggestion to hold a public meeting in Hanover, possibly at the Ashland Library; there is a great deal of interest in passenger and freight rail development, both of which are components of the long-range plan.

On motion of Kathy C. Graziano, seconded by James M. Holland, the TPO unanimously approved the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) authorizes the *plan2040* draft document for public review from August 1 through August 31, 2016.

C. FY 18 Smart Scale: TPO Application Endorsement Process

Sarah Rhodes noted that House Bill 2 is now called Smart Scale and she reviewed changes in the application endorsement requirements from last year's process. Ms. Rhodes introduced proposed revisions to the RRTPO endorsement process approved in August 2015. The proposed revision would require regional endorsement of projects on corridors of statewide significance (CoSS) with regional endorsement being optional for projects located on regional networks and for projects that meet a safety need identified in VTrans2040. Endorsement is intended to promote collaboration between the localities, transit agencies and the regional entity and it is used as a screening tool to allow projects located on CoSS to screen into the scoring process. The proposed schedule for regional project endorsement would require submission of project descriptions and draft resolutions to staff by July 19, with TAC review on August 8 and RRTPO approval action on September 1.

On motion of Kathy C. Graziano, seconded by James M. Holland, the TPO unanimously approved the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) approves the process detailed in the attachment titled "FY18 Smart Scale Regional Endorsement Process."

D. FY 18 Smart Scale: Project Development for Regional Candidate Applications

Regional entities are eligible to submit applications projects located on CoSS or Regional Networks for consideration in the Smart Scale process. The Technical Advisory Committee recommended at its June 21 meeting that the RRTPO authorize the development of project applications for the FY 18 Smart Sale funding cycle. Working collaboratively with VDOT District staff and other partners, a candidate pool of applications will be developed for TAC review at the August 9 meeting to provide a recommendation for RRTPO consideration on September 1. TAC recommends that efforts focus on the following regional needs:

- completion of the near-term recommendations for the I-95/I-64 Overlap Study encompassing three projects;
- consider operational efficiency improvements along mainline corridors; and
- addressing the 30-mile capacity gap on I-64 east of Richmond with significant coordination at the state, regional and local level.

Ms. Rhodes said the RRTPO would see actual applications for action at the September 1 meeting, prior to the September 30 Smart Scale application deadline.

On motion of Kathy C. Graziano, seconded by James M. Holland, the TPO unanimously approved the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) authorizes the development of project applications for the Fiscal Year 2018 Smart Scale application cycle with candidate projects focusing on the following regional needs:

- safety and large-scale operational needs within the I-95/I-64 overlap corridor;
- operational efficiency improvements for mainline commuter corridors; and
- completion of the 30-mile gap in capacity investment in the I-64 corridor between Bottoms Bridge and York County in the Hampton Roads region.

E. FY 15 – 18 TIP Amendment: DRPT – FTA Section 5310 Funds

Barbara Nelson noted that this item was transferred from the consent agenda and is included on page two of tab two in the agenda package. This is a request to amend the FY 15 – FY 19 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) to obligate \$110,000 in Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5310 funds for the Lake Country Area Agency on Aging (LCAAA), located in South Hill, to purchase two nine-passenger paratransit vehicles with wheelchair lifts. The vehicles would be used to provide transportation to bring clients to appointments at Hunter Holmes McGuire VA Medical Center and to VCU Medical Center, both located in the Richmond Urbanized Area (RUA) and within the RRTPO boundary. Section 5310 funds may only be used for projects or programs that enhance mobility of seniors and individuals with disabilities. The 5310 program applications open in the fall of each year and closes with an application deadline of February 1 with applications being reviewed ranked and scored by DRPT. Traditionally funding for 5310 program projects that have been awarded in the RUA have all come from projects with origins and destinations within the urbanized area. This request has been reviewed by DRPT and FTA and determined to be an eligible application because of the destination location. Ms. Nelson reported that in discussion with DRPT and TAC, the consensus is that for any future application of this nature, there would be consultation prior to a decision to recommend by DRPT. However, as the RRTPO's designated recipient of these funds, it is their option to

recommend this project to move forward. Ms. Nelson reported that there were no eligible project applications in the RUA that did not receive funds in this round of 5310 funding and approximately \$350,000 remains unallocated for carryover into the next fiscal year. Ms. Nelson noted a DRPT representative was present at the June 21 TAC meeting and there was considerable discussion about the process that resulted in this recommendation by DRPT.

Major points resulting from questions and discussion were as follows:

- There are rural needs outside of the RUA that are in the Richmond region which have significant transportation needs for seniors and individuals with disabilities as represented in the Needs and Gaps assessment.
- Regardless of where the boundary is within the planning district, staff will work in the next round of Section 5310 funding to support application development where there is an interest in seeking funding for a project to meet identified unmet needs.
- If the RRTPO denies this request, the project cannot move forward and the funds would roll over to the next round of funding for use within the RUA.
- With the \$350,000 carryover and the anticipated allocation for next year there should be approximately \$1.2 million available for projects within the RUA to cover projects such as the clearinghouse project for seniors or individuals with disabilities to locate transportation services within their area of residence.
- Scheduled in the FY 17 work program is a work task to convene the conversation and develop a recommendation on the clearinghouse or coordinating entity and bring that recommendation back to the TPO board by December so that if there is interest in competing for FY 18 Section 5310 funds, the entity would be in a position to apply prior to the February 2017 deadline.

On motion of James M. Holland, seconded by Kathy C. Graziano, the TPO unanimously approved the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) amends the *FY 2015 – FY 2018 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)* to add the following new project:

- STIP ID - LCAAA0001: Purchase of two 9-passenger paratransit vehicles with wheelchair lifts.

III. COMMITTEE REPORTS

A. VDOT Richmond District Update

Rob Cary, Secretary of Transportation designee to the RRPTO, noted the new name for HB 2, Smart Scale, is an acronym for Safety, Congestion, Accessibility, Land use, Environment and Economic development, the factors. He reported that with the approval of the Six-Year Improvement Plan (SYIP), the Richmond District is actively moving forward on the I-64 design/build project from I-295 to Bottoms Bridge, working with Hampton Roads District staff who have done three design/build projects, to benefit from their lessons learned. He said the District is also working on State of Good Repair projects which include I-295 paving, numerous bridges, and urban projects, and they are working with Chesterfield County on I-95/Willis Road to position the project for an application for Smart Scale funds.

There will be training on July 29 and October 3 at the VDOT District Office in Colonial Heights on the electronic website portal that will be used to make the Smart Scale

applications as well as other applications such as Bike/Ped, Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) and Transportation Alternative Program (TAP). The application deadline for the Smart Scale projects is September 30 and one month later will be the deadline for the Bike/Ped and HSIP projects.

The Richmond District started a 24-hour Safety Service Patrol on July 1 with a Safety Patroller located in the I-95 corridor in the core of Richmond strategically placed to arrive at an incident within half an hour to help with emergency response and traffic control set-up. This was accomplished as part of the Richmond Area Traffic Incident Management Group using some maintenance funding.

Mr. Cary said he cannot overstate how fortunate Virginia is to have received \$165 million from the U.S. Department of Transportation in Atlantic Gateway project funding that the Governor announced this week. He reported that another project received \$90 million for the Arlington Memorial Bridge that goes directly into Arlington cemetery. Virginia received \$255 million of the total \$759 million, nearly one-third of all the money awarded to all projects across the nation. The Gateway funds will add six miles of fourth-lane track from D.C. to Alexandria; extend I-395 express lanes north to the Pentagon; extend I-95 express lanes going south 10 miles to Fredericksburg; provide operational improvements to the general purpose lanes of I-395; alleviate congestion on the I-95 bridge over the Rappahannock River from Rt. 17 to Rt. 3; rest area reconstruction on I-95; truck parking enhancements on I-95; acquisition of the abandoned rail line, the S-line, from Petersburg toward the North Carolina border that will facilitate the higher speed rail; and other projects. He noted that private money and state money are also coming to the hot lanes.

Barbara Nelson noted that RRTPO staff is working with the Office of Intermodal Planning and Investment and partnering with DRPT, VDOT, Chesterfield and the City of Richmond on a Commerce Corridor planning effort that goes from the James River to Route 10 in Chesterfield County on a 13-mile segment of I-95. Staff is hoping to position the projects coming out of the Commerce Corridor study for a future FAST Lane application. In addition, projects will be pulled for future rounds of Smart Scale.

IV. OTHER BUSINESS

A. Upcoming TPO Policy Board Meetings and Future Meeting Topics

Chairman Elswick said there was discussion earlier in the meeting on this topic, and he noted the Fourth Annual Regional Transportation Forum scheduled for November 3.

B. Next TPO Meeting: September 1, 2016

Chairman Elswick noted the next TPO meeting will be held September 1, 2016.

VI. ADJOURNMENT

Chairman Elswick adjourned the meeting at 11:05 a.m.